

The Forty-Sixth Annual William Lowell Putnam Competition
Solutions
Gérald Petit
petitge@yahoo.com

A-1 Answer: $2^{10} \cdot 3^{10} = 6^{10}$.

For $n \geq 1$ we determine by induction on n the number a_n of ordered triples (A_1, A_2, A_3) of sets which have the property that

- (i) $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 = \{1, \dots, n\}$, and
- (ii) $A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3 = \emptyset$.

For $n = 1$ this can happen iff one set only is empty (three possibilities) or if two sets are empty (three possibilities); that is $a_1 = 3 + 3 = 6 = 6^1$. Moving from n to $n + 1$, note that $n + 1$ either belongs to A_1 , or A_2 or A_3 only, or to one and only one of the intersections $A_1 \cap A_2$, $A_2 \cap A_3$, $A_1 \cap A_3$. That is, $a_{n+1} = 6a_n$. Thus $a_n = 6^n$.

Note: If no empty set is allowed, the number is $6^{10} - 3 - 3(3^{10} - 2) = 60289032$. To see this, let us count the configurations with empty sets. There are three cases where exactly two sets are empty. In cases where only (say) A_3 is empty, one first needs to choose $k \geq 1$ elements among n to determine A_1 , then choose from the elements of A_1 , those that will be common with A_2 (choose $l \geq 0$ elements among k). Among these possibilities, that one where $k = n$ and $l = 0$ has to be excluded. Finally there are:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n C_n^k \cdot \sum_{l=0}^k C_k^l - 1 = \sum_{k=1}^n C_n^k \cdot 2^k - 1 = 3^n - 2$$

cases where only A_3 , also where only A_1 or A_2 is empty. In total there are $3 + 3(3^n - 2)$ configurations with empty sets, to be deducted from the total number 6^n of configurations.

A-2 Answer: $\sup_{R,S} \frac{A(R)+A(S)}{A(T)} = \frac{2}{3}$.

Denote the vertices of T by A_1, A_2, A_3 and set $A_1A_2 = a_1$, $A_2A_3 = a_2$, $A_1A_3 = a_3$. Denote the base length corresponding to side i by H_i .

Suppose for convenience, that the side common to R and T is (A_1, A_2) . Set the origin at A_1 , the first co-ordinate axis as that directed by $\overrightarrow{A_1A_2}$ and the second co-ordinate axis perpendicular to the first one. If R has height $h \leq H_1$, then it is easily seen (analytically) that $A(R) = a_1h(1 - \frac{h}{H_1})$.

This expression is maximum for $h^* = \frac{H_1}{2}$. This yields $A(R^*) = \frac{a_1H_1}{4} = \frac{A(T)}{2}$. The latter expression does not depend on the choice of the side common to R and T . Therefore, finding the maximum of $A(R) + A(S)$ is equivalent to finding the maximum of $a_1h(1 - \frac{h}{H_1}) + \frac{A(T(h))}{2}$, where $T(h)$ is the triangle of height $H_1 - h$ limited by the side of R opposite (A_1, A_2) and the other two sides of T . That is, we want the maximum of:

$$f(h) = \frac{a_1}{4} (1 - \frac{h}{H_1})(H_1 + 3h).$$

This yields $h^* = \frac{H_1}{3}$ and $f(h^*) = \frac{a_1H_1}{3} = \frac{2}{3}A(T)$.

A-3 Answer: $e^d - 1$.

Since $a_m(j+1) = (a_m(j) + 1)^2 - 1$, $j \geq 0$, put $b_m(j) = a_m(j) + 1$.

Then $b_m(j+k) = (b_m(j))^{2^k}$, $k, j \geq 0$.

Thus $b_m(m) = b_m(0)^{2^m} = (d/2^m + 1)^{2^m} \mapsto e^d$ as m goes to infinity.

A-4 Answer: 27, 29, 61, 67, 43.

We first prove that if $a \equiv b \pmod{100}$ then $3^a \equiv 3^b \pmod{100}$. To see this, check that $3^{100} \equiv 1 \pmod{100}$ e.g. by noting that $3^{10} \equiv 49$ and expanding $3^{100} = (3^{10})^{10} \equiv (50 - 1)^{10}$, using the binomial formula for $x \rightarrow (x - 1)^{10}$. From there on the following is straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= 3^{a_1} \equiv 27 \pmod{100} \\ a_3 &= 3^{a_2} \equiv 29 \pmod{100} \\ a_4 &= 3^{a_3} \equiv 61 \pmod{100} \\ a_5 &= 3^{a_4} \equiv 67 \pmod{100} \\ a_6 &= 3^{a_5} \equiv 43 \pmod{100} \\ a_7 &= 3^{a_6} \equiv 27 \pmod{100}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $a_n \equiv a_{n-5} \pmod{100}$, $n \geq 7$.

A-5 Answer: $m = 4k$ or $m = 4k - 1$, $k \geq 1$.

First put $f_m(x) = \cos(x) \cos(2x) \cdots \cos(mx)$ and $u = \pi - x$. Then $\int_{\pi}^{2\pi} f_m(x) dx = (-1)^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}} \int_0^{\pi} f_m(u) du$. Thus if $m = 4k - 2$ or $m = 4k - 3$ then $I_m = 0$. Next:

$$f_m(x) = \prod_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{e^{ikx} + e^{-ikx}}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_m} e^{i(\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{k_j} \cdot j)x}$$

where $k_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Now unless $A = \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{k_j} \cdot j = 0$, $\int_0^{2\pi} e^{iAx} dx = 0$.

For $m = 3$, $0 = 1 + 2 - 3$; for $m = 4$, $0 = 1 - 2 - 3 + 4$. By induction on k , it is then easy to see that if $m = 4k - 1$ or $m = 4k$, there is a set of k_i 's such that $\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{k_j} \cdot j = 0$.

Thus in those cases $I_m \neq 0$.

A-6 Answer: $g(x) = 6x^2 + 5x + 1$ is a solution.

If $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_mx^m$ is a polynomial with real coefficients a_i , establish the convention that for $k < 0$ or $k > m$ then $a_k = 0$. Then set:

$$T_i(p) = \sum_{k=0}^m a_k a_{k-i} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_k a_{k-i}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then: $T_{-i}(p) = T_i(p), i \in \mathbb{Z}; \Gamma(p) = T_0(p); T_i(p) = 0, |i| > m$.

For $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2$ define a sequence $b_k(n)$ as follows:

$$b_k(0) = a_k, k = 0, 1, 2;$$

$$p^n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} b_k(n)x^k; b_k(n) = 0 \text{ otherwise. Then since:}$$

$$b_k(n+1) = a_0b_k(n) + a_1b_{k-1}(n) + a_2b_{k-2}(n), k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

we have for $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$T_i(p^{n+1}) = T_0(p)T_i(p^n) + \sum_{k=1}^2 T_k(p)(T_{i-k}(p^n) + T_{i+k}(p^n)).$$

Thus if p, q are two polynomials of degree 2, we see (by induction on n) that:

$$(T_i(p) = T_i(q), i = 0, 1, 2) \Leftrightarrow (T_i(p^n) = T_i(q^n), i, n \in \mathbb{N})$$

Looking for a polynomial g of degree 2 satisfying $T_i(g) = T_i(f), i = 0, 1, 2$, we come to the proposed answer.

B-1 Answer: $k = 3$ which is achieved for example, with $m_1 = 0, m_2 = -2, m_3 = -1, m_4 = 1, m_5 = 2$.

Indeed $k = 2$ is not possible, otherwise either zero would be a multiple root or p would have complex roots.

B-2 Answer: 101^{99} since 101 is prime.

By induction on n , one sees that $f_n(x) = x(x+n)^{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$, thus $f_{100}(1) = 101^{99}$.

B-3 Answer:

Denote by $[x]$ the largest integer smaller than x and take $N \geq 9$. Extracting any subset of size N^2 from the array will yield at least $N^2 - 8N$ elements which are strictly greater than N , since in this subset, $1, 2, \dots, N$ can each appear 8 times at the most.

Now suppose that $\forall m \leq N, n \leq N, a_{m,n} \leq mn$ to arrive at a contradiction. For this, extract rows $m = 1, \dots, N$ and columns $n = 1, \dots, N$ from the array. Those elements $a_{m,n}$ for which $a_{m,n} \leq N$ occupy at least the positions where $mn \leq N$. The number of couples (m, n) satisfying the latter is equal to:

$$\sum_{l=1}^N [\frac{N}{l}] \geq N(\sum_{l=1}^N \frac{1}{l}) - N \geq N(\int_1^{N+1} \frac{dx}{x}) - N = N(\ln(N+1) - 1).$$

Now choose N such that $N(\ln(N+1) - 1) > 8N$ (i.e. $N > e^9 - 1$) to obtain a contradiction, since then, there are not enough positions left in the $N \times N$ sub-matrix to place all the elements (either smaller than N or larger than N) that should be there.

B-4 Answer: $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2} \approx 0.14$.

It is assumed that the probability distribution associated to p is the uniform distribution on $[0, 2\pi]$ and that associated

to q the uniform distribution on C . Given p, R will be inside C iff q is inside the rectangle inscribed in C , with sides parallels to the axes and having p as a vertex. If $(\cos\theta, \sin\theta)$ are the coordinates of p , this rectangle has the area $2|\sin\theta\cos\theta|$. Thus the searched probability is:

$$Prob = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{2|\sin\theta\cos\theta|}{\pi} d\theta = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_0^{\pi/4} \sin u du = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2}.$$

B-5 Answer: $\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{1985}} \cdot (e^{-2 \cdot 1985 \cdot \sqrt{1985}})$.

First put $u = t^{1/2}$ then:

$$I = \int_0^\infty t^{-1/2} e^{-1985(t+t^{-1})} dt = 2 \int_0^\infty e^{-1985(u^2+u^{-2})} du.$$

Let $m = 1985$ and for $\alpha \geq 0$,

$$f(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty e^{-m(u^2+\alpha \cdot u^{-2})} du.$$

Then f is differentiable and

$$f'(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty -m \cdot u^{-2} e^{-m(u^2+\alpha \cdot u^{-2})} du$$

$$= -m \cdot \int_0^\infty e^{-m(u^{-2}+\alpha \cdot u^2)} du$$

$$= -m \cdot \alpha^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-m(u^2+\alpha \cdot u^{-2})} du$$

$$= -m \cdot \alpha^{-1/2} f(\alpha).$$

Thus $f(\alpha) = f(0)e^{-2m \cdot \sqrt{\alpha}}$.

$$\text{Now } f(0) = \int_0^\infty e^{-mx^2} dx = m^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} dx = m^{-1/2}(\sqrt{\pi}/2) \text{ and } I = 2f(m) = \sqrt{\pi/m} \cdot (e^{-2m \cdot \sqrt{m}}).$$

B-6 Answer:

Put $A = \sum_{i=1}^r M_i$. For a given j , the set of products $\{(M_i \cdot M_j)\}_{i=1, \dots, r}$ is a translation of G . Thus:

$$(\sum_{i=1}^r (M_i))M_j = \sum_{i=1}^r M_i, j = 1, \dots, r.$$

Thus $A^2 = (\sum_{i=1}^r M_i)^2 = r(\sum_{i=1}^r M_i) = rA$. From there, it is easy to see that if r is an eigenvalue of A then in some base of \mathbb{R}^n , A must have the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} rI_p & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where I_p is the $p \times p$ identity matrix and B some $p \times n-p$ matrix (use a base of eigenvectors associated to eigenvalue r , and complete it with a base of a supplementary vector space in \mathbb{R}^n).

Since $\text{tr}(A) = 0$, this is impossible and thus $A = 0$.