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A-1 Note that if $r(x)$ and $s(x)$ are any two functions, then

$$
\max (r, s)=(r+s+|r-s|) / 2
$$

Therefore, if $F(x)$ is the given function, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x)= & \max \{-3 x-3,0\}-\max \{5 x, 0\}+3 x+2 \\
= & (-3 x-3+|3 x-3|) / 2 \\
& \quad-(5 x+|5 x|) / 2+3 x+2 \\
= & |(3 x-3) / 2|-|5 x / 2|-x+\frac{1}{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

so we may set $f(x)=(3 x-3) / 2, g(x)=5 x / 2$, and $h(x)=-x+\frac{1}{2}$.

A-2 First solution: First factor $p(x)=q(x) r(x)$, where $q$ has all real roots and $r$ has all complex roots. Notice that each root of $q$ has even multiplicity, otherwise $p$ would have a sign change at that root. Thus $q(x)$ has a square root $s(x)$.
Now write $r(x)=\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(x-a_{j}\right)\left(x-\overline{a_{j}}\right)$ (possible because $r$ has roots in complex conjugate pairs). Write $\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(x-a_{j}\right)=t(x)+i u(x)$ with $t, x$ having real coeffcients. Then for $x$ real,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(x) & =q(x) r(x) \\
& =s(x)^{2}(t(x)+i u(x))(\overline{t(x)+i u(x)}) \\
& =(s(x) t(x))^{2}+(s(x) u(x))^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(Alternatively, one can factor $r(x)$ as a product of quadratic polynomials with real coeffcients, write each as a sum of squares, then multiply together to get a sum of many squares.)
Second solution: We proceed by induction on the degree of $p$, with base case where $p$ has degree 0 . As in the frst solution, we may reduce to a smaller degree in case $p$ has any real roots, so assume it has none. Then $p(x)>0$ for all real $x$, and since $p(x) \rightarrow \infty$ for $x \rightarrow \pm \infty, p$ has a minimum value $c$. Now $p(x)-c$ has real roots, so as above, we deduce that $p(x)-c$ is a sum of squares. Now add one more square, namely $(\sqrt{c})^{2}$, to get $p(x)$ as a sum of squares.
A-3 First solution: Computing the coef£cient of $x^{n+1}$ in the identity $\left(1-2 x-x^{2}\right) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m} x^{m}=1$ yields the recurrence $a_{n+1}=2 a_{n}+a_{n-1}$; the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is then characterized by this recurrence and the initial conditions $a_{0}=1, a_{1}=2$.

Defne the sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ by $b_{2 n}=a_{n-1}^{2}+$ $a_{n}^{2}, b_{2 n+1}=a_{n}\left(a_{n-1}+a_{n+1}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 b_{2 n+1}+b_{2 n} & =2 a_{n} a_{n+1}+2 a_{n-1} a_{n}+a_{n-1}^{2}+a_{n}^{2} \\
& =2 a_{n} a_{n+1}+a_{n-1} a_{n+1}+a_{n}^{2} \\
& =a_{n+1}^{2}+a_{n}^{2}=b_{2 n+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly $2 b_{2 n}+b_{2 n-1}=b_{2 n+1}$, so that $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ satis£es the same recurrence as $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$. Since further $b_{0}=1, b_{1}=2$ (where we use the recurrence for $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ to calculate $a_{-1}=0$ ), we deduce that $b_{n}=a_{n}$ for all $n$. In particular, $a_{n}^{2}+a_{n+1}^{2}=b_{2 n+2}=a_{2 n+2}$.
Second solution: Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{1-2 x-x^{2}} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+1}{1-(1+\sqrt{2}) x}+\frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{1-(1-\sqrt{2}) x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and that

$$
\frac{1}{1+(1 \pm \sqrt{2}) x}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(1 \pm \sqrt{2})^{n} x^{n}
$$

so that

$$
a_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left((\sqrt{2}+1)^{n+1}-(1-\sqrt{2})^{n+1}\right)
$$

A simple computation (omitted here) now shows that $a_{n}^{2}+a_{n+1}^{2}=a_{2 n+2}$.
Third solution (by Richard Stanley): Let $A$ be the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2\end{array}\right)$. A simple induction argument shows that

$$
A^{n+2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{n} & a_{n+1} \\
a_{n+1} & a_{n+2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The desired result now follows from comparing the top left corner entries of the equality $A^{n+2} A^{n+2}=A^{2 n+4}$.

A-4 Denote the series by $S$, and let $a_{n}=3^{n} / n$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & =\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_{m}\left(a_{m}+a_{n}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_{n}\left(a_{m}+a_{n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equality follows by interchanging $m$ and $n$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 S & =\sum_{m} \sum_{n}\left(\frac{1}{a_{m}\left(a_{m}+a_{n}\right)}+\frac{1}{a_{n}\left(a_{m}+a_{n}\right)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{m} \sum_{n} \frac{1}{a_{m} a_{n}} \\
& =\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{3^{n}}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{3^{n}}=\frac{3}{4}
$$

since, e.g., it's $f^{\prime}(1)$, where

$$
f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{3^{n}}=\frac{3}{3-x},
$$

and we conclude that $S=9 / 32$.
A-5 First solution: (by Reid Barton) Let $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{1999}$ be the roots of $P$. Draw a disc of radius $\epsilon$ around each $r_{i}$, where $\epsilon<1 / 3998$; this disc covers a subinterval of $[-1 / 2,1 / 2]$ of length at most $2 \epsilon$, and so of the 2000 (or fewer) uncovered intervals in $[-1 / 2,1 / 2]$, one, which we call $I$, has length at least $\delta=(1-3998 \epsilon) / 2000>0$. We will exhibit an explicit lower bound for the integral of $|P(x)| / P(0)$ over this interval, which will yield such a bound for the entire integral.
Note that

$$
\frac{|P(x)|}{|P(0)|}=\prod_{i=1}^{1999} \frac{\left|x-r_{i}\right|}{\left|r_{i}\right|}
$$

Also note that by construction, $\left|x-r_{i}\right| \geq \epsilon$ for each $x \in I$. If $\left|r_{i}\right| \leq 1$, then we have $\frac{\left|x-r_{i}\right|}{\left|r_{i}\right|} \geq \epsilon$. If $\left|r_{i}\right|>1$, then

$$
\frac{\left|x-r_{i}\right|}{\left|r_{i}\right|}=\left|1-x / r_{i}\right| \geq 1-\left|x / r_{i}\right| \geq=1 / 2>\epsilon
$$

We conclude that $\int_{I}|P(x) / P(0)| d x \geq \delta \epsilon$, independent of $P$.
Second solution: It will be a bit more convenient to assume $P(0)=1$ (which we may achieve by rescaling unless $P(0)=0$, in which case there is nothing to prove) and to prove that there exists $D>0$ such that $\int_{D .}^{1}|P(x)| d x \geq D$, or even such that $\int_{0}^{1}|P(x)| d x \geq$
We frst reduce to the case where $P$ has all of its roots in $[0,1]$. If this is not the case, we can factor $P(x)$ as $Q(x) R(x)$, where $Q$ has all roots in the interval and $R$ has none. Then $R$ is either always positive or always negative on $[0,1]$; assume the former. Let $k$ be the
largest positive real number such that $R(x)-k x \geq 0$ on $[0,1]$; then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^{1}|P(x)| d x & =\int_{-1}^{1}|Q(x) R(x)| d x \\
& >\int_{-1}^{1}|Q(x)(R(x)-k x)| d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and $Q(x)(R(x)-k x)$ has more roots in $[0,1]$ than does $P$ (and has the same value at 0 ). Repeating this argument shows that $\int_{0}^{1}|P(x)| d x$ is greater than the corresponding integral for some polynomial with all of its roots in $[0,1]$.
Under this assumption, we have

$$
P(x)=c \prod_{i=1}^{1999}\left(x-r_{i}\right)
$$

for some $r_{i} \in(0,1]$. Since

$$
P(0)=-c \prod r_{i}=1
$$

we have

$$
|c| \geq \prod\left|r_{i}^{-1}\right| \geq 1
$$

Thus it suffces to prove that if $Q(x)$ is a monic polynomial of degree 1999 with all of its roots in [0, 1], then $\int_{0}^{1}|Q(x)| d x \geq D$ for some constant $D>0$. But the integral of $\int_{0}^{1} \prod_{i=1}^{1999}\left|x-r_{i}\right| d x$ is a continuous function for $r_{i} \in[0,1]$. The product of all of these intervals is compact, so the integral achieves a minimum value for some $r_{i}$. This minimum is the desired $D$.

Third solution (by Abe Kunin): It suffces to prove the stronger inequality

$$
\sup _{x \in[-1,1]}|P(x)| \leq C \int_{-1}^{1}|P(x)| d x
$$

holds for some $C$. But this follows immediately from the following standard fact: any two norms on a £nitedimensional vector space (here the polynomials of degree at most 1999) are equivalent. (The proof of this statement is also a compactness argument: $C$ can be taken to be the maximum of the L1-norm divided by the sup norm over the set of polynomials with L1-norm 1.)

Note: combining the $£$ rst two approaches gives a constructive solution with a constant that is better than that given by the £rst solution, but is still far from optimal. I don't know offhand whether it is even known what the optimal constant and/or the polynomials achieving that constant are.

A-6 Rearranging the given equation yields the much more tractable equation

$$
\frac{a_{n}}{a_{n-1}}=6 \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_{n-2}}-8 \frac{a_{n-2}}{a_{n-3}} .
$$

Let $b_{n}=a_{n} / a_{n-1}$; with the initial conditions $b_{2}=$ $2, b_{3}=12$, one easily obtains $b_{n}=2^{n-1}\left(2^{n-2}-1\right)$, and so

$$
a_{n}=2^{n(n-1) / 2} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(2^{i}-1\right)
$$

To see that $n$ divides $a_{n}$, factor $n$ as $2^{k} m$, with $m$ odd. Then note that $k \leq n \leq n(n-1) / 2$, and that there exists $i \leq m-1$ such that $m$ divides $2^{i}-1$, namely $i=\phi(m)$ (Euler's totient function: the number of integers in $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ relatively prime to $m$ ).
$\mathrm{B}-1$ The answer is $1 / 3$. Let $G$ be the point obtained by repecting $C$ about the line $A B$. Since $\angle A D C=\frac{\pi-\theta}{2}$, we $\mathfrak{f n d}$ that $\angle B D E=\pi-\theta-\angle A D C=\frac{\pi-\theta}{2}=$ $\angle A D C=\pi-\angle B D C=\pi-\angle B D G$, so that $E, D, G$ are collinear. Hence

$$
|E F|=\frac{|B E|}{|B C|}=\frac{|B E|}{|B G|}=\frac{\sin (\theta / 2)}{\sin (3 \theta / 2)}
$$

where we have used the law of sines in $\triangle B D G$. But by l'Hôpital's Rule,

$$
\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin (\theta / 2)}{\sin (3 \theta / 2)}=\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos (\theta / 2)}{3 \cos (3 \theta / 2)}=1 / 3
$$

B-2 First solution: Suppose that $P$ does not have $n$ distinct roots; then it has a root of multiplicity at least 2 , which we may assume is $x=0$ without loss of generality. Let $x^{k}$ be the greatest power of $x$ dividing $P(x)$, so that $P(x)=x^{k} R(x)$ with $R(0) \neq 0$; a simple computation yields
$P^{\prime \prime}(x)=\left(k^{2}-k\right) x^{k-2} R(x)+2 k x^{k-1} R^{\prime}(x)+x^{k} R^{\prime \prime}(x)$.
Since $R(0) \neq 0$ and $k \geq 2$, we conclude that the greatest power of $x$ dividing $P^{\prime \prime}(x)$ is $x^{k-2}$. But $P(x)=$ $Q(x) P^{\prime \prime}(x)$, and so $x^{2}$ divides $Q(x)$. We deduce (since $Q$ is quadratic) that $Q(x)$ is a constant $C$ times $x^{2}$; in fact, $C=1 /(n(n-1))$ by inspection of the leadingdegree terms of $P(x)$ and $P^{\prime \prime}(x)$.
Now if $P(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} x^{j}$, then the relation $P(x)=$ $C x^{2} P^{\prime \prime}(x)$ implies that $a_{j}=C j(j-1) a_{j}$ for all $j$; hence $a_{j}=0$ for $j \leq n-1$, and we conclude that $P(x)=a_{n} x^{n}$, which has all identical roots.
Second solution (by Greg Kuperberg): Let $f(x)=$ $P^{\prime \prime}(x) / P(x)=1 / Q(x)$. By hypothesis, $f$ has at most two poles (counting multiplicity).
Recall that for any complex polynomial $P$, the roots of $P^{\prime}$ lie within the convex hull of $P$. To show this, it suf£ces to show that if the roots of $P$ lie on one side of a
line, say on the positive side of the imaginary axis, then $P^{\prime}$ has no roots on the other side. That follows because if $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$ are the roots of $P$,

$$
\frac{P^{\prime}(z)}{P(z)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{z-r_{i}}
$$

and if $z$ has negative real part, so does $1 /\left(z-r_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, so the sum is nonzero.
The above argument also carries through if $z$ lies on the imaginary axis, provided that $z$ is not equal to a root of $P$. Thus we also have that no roots of $P^{\prime}$ lie on the sides of the convex hull of $P$, unless they are also roots of $P$.
From this we conclude that if $r$ is a root of $P$ which is a vertex of the convex hull of the roots, and which is not also a root of $P^{\prime}$, then $f$ has a single pole at $r$ (as $r$ cannot be a root of $P^{\prime \prime}$ ). On the other hand, if $r$ is a root of $P$ which is also a root of $P^{\prime}$, it is a multiple root, and then $f$ has a double pole at $r$.
If $P$ has roots not all equal, the convex hull of its roots has at least two vertices.

B-3 We frst note that

$$
\sum_{m, n>0} x^{m} y^{n}=\frac{x y}{(1-x)(1-y)}
$$

Subtracting $S$ from this gives two sums, one of which is

$$
\sum_{m \geq 2 n+1} x^{m} y^{n}=\sum_{n} y^{n} \frac{x^{2 n+1}}{1-x}=\frac{x^{3} y}{(1-x)\left(1-x^{2} y\right)}
$$

and the other of which sums to $x y^{3} /\left[(1-y)\left(1-x y^{2}\right)\right]$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(x, y) & =\frac{x y}{(1-x)(1-y)}-\frac{x^{3} y}{(1-x)\left(1-x^{2} y\right)}-\frac{x y^{3}}{(1-y)(1} \\
& =\frac{x y\left(1+x+y+x y-x^{2} y^{2}\right)}{\left(1-x^{2} y\right)\left(1-x y^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the desired limit is $\lim _{(x, y) \rightarrow(1,1)} x y(1+x+y+$ $\left.x y-x^{2} y^{2}\right)=3$.
B-4 (based on work by Daniel Stronger) We make repeated use of the following fact: if $f$ is a differentiable function on all of $\mathbb{R}, \lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} f(x) \geq 0$, and $f^{\prime}(x)>0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f(x)>0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. (Proof: if $f(y)<0$ for some $x$, then $f(x)<f(y)$ for all $x<y$ since $f^{\prime}>0$, but then $\lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} f(x) \leq f(y)<0$.)
From the inequality $f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x) \leq f(x)$ we obtain

$$
f^{\prime \prime} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x) \leq f^{\prime \prime}(x) f(x)<f^{\prime \prime}(x) f(x)+f^{\prime}(x)^{2}
$$

since $f^{\prime}(x)$ is positive. Applying the fact to the difference between the right and left sides, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)^{2}<f(x) f^{\prime}(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $f(x)$ and $f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)$ are both positive for all $x$, we have

$$
2 f^{\prime}(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x)<2 f^{\prime}(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x)+2 f(x) f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)
$$

Applying the fact to the difference between the sides yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(x)^{2} \leq 2 f(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (1) and (2), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(x)^{2}}{2 f(x)}\right)^{2} & <\frac{1}{2}\left(f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)^{2} \\
& <f(x) f^{\prime}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

or $\left(f^{\prime}(x)\right)^{3}<f(x)^{3}$. We conclude $f^{\prime}(x)<2 f(x)$, as desired.
Note: one can actually prove the result with a smaller constant in place of 2 , as follows. Adding $\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}(x) f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)$ to both sides of (1) and again invoking the original bound $f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x) \leq f(x)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\left[f^{\prime}(x) f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)+\left(f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)^{2}\right] & <f(x) f^{\prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}(x) f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x) \\
& \leq \frac{3}{2} f(x) f^{\prime}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the fact again, we get

$$
\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x)<\frac{3}{4} f(x)^{2}
$$

Multiplying both sides by $f^{\prime}(x)$ and applying the fact once more, we get

$$
\frac{1}{6}\left(f^{\prime}(x)\right)^{3}<\frac{1}{4} f(x)^{3}
$$

From this we deduce $f^{\prime}(x)<(3 / 2)^{1 / 3} f(x)<2 f(x)$, as desired.
I don't know what the best constant is, except that it is not less than 1 (because $f(x)=e^{x}$ satis£es the given conditions).

B-5 We claim that the eigenvalues of $A$ are 0 with multiplicity $n-2$, and $n / 2$ and $-n / 2$, each with multiplicity 1 . To prove this claim, defne vectors $v^{(m)}$, $0 \leq m \leq n-1$, componentwise by $\left(v^{(m)}\right)_{k}=e^{i k m \theta}$,
and note that the $v^{(m)}$ form a basis for $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. (If we arrange the $v^{(m)}$ into an $n \times n$ matrix, then the determinant of this matrix is a Vandermonde product which is nonzero.) Now note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A v^{(m)}\right)_{j} & =\sum_{k=1}^{n} \cos (j \theta+k \theta) e^{i k m \theta} \\
& =\frac{e^{i j \theta}}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{i k(m+1) \theta}+\frac{e^{-i j \theta}}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{i k(m-1) \theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{i k \ell \theta}=0$ for integer $\ell$ unless $n \mid \ell$, we conclude that $A v^{(m)}=0$ for $m=0$ or for $2 \leq m \leq$ $n-1$. In addition, we fnd that $\left(A v^{(1)}\right)_{j}=\frac{n}{2} e^{-i j \theta}=$ $\frac{n}{2}\left(v^{(n-1)}\right)_{j}$ and $\left(A v^{(n-1)}\right)_{j}=\frac{n}{2} e^{i j \theta}=\frac{n}{2}\left(v^{(1)}\right)_{j}$, so that $A\left(v^{(1)} \pm v^{(n-1)}\right)= \pm \frac{n}{2}\left(v^{(1)} \pm v^{(n-1)}\right)$. Thus $\left\{v^{(0)}, v^{(2)}, v^{(3)}, \ldots, v^{(n-2)}, v^{(1)}+v^{(n-1)}, v^{(1)}-\right.$ $\left.v^{(n-1)}\right\}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ of eigenvectors of $A$ with the claimed eigenvalues.
Finally, the determinant of $I+A$ is the product of $(1+\lambda)$ over all eigenvalues $\lambda$ of $A$; in this case, $\operatorname{det}(I+A)=$ $(1+n / 2)(1-n / 2)=1-n^{2} / 4$.

B-6 First solution: Choose a sequence $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots$ of primes as follows. Let $p_{1}$ be any prime dividing an element of $S$. To defne $p_{j+1}$ given $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{j}$, choose an integer $N_{j} \in S$ relatively prime to $p_{1} \cdots p_{j}$ and let $p_{j+1}$ be a prime divisor of $N_{j}$, or stop if no such $N_{j}$ exists.
Since $S$ is $£$ nite, the above algorithm eventually terminates in a $£$ nite sequence $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$. Let $m$ be the smallest integer such that $p_{1} \cdots p_{m}$ has a divisor in $S$. (By the assumption on $S$ with $n=p_{1} \cdots p_{k}, m=k$ has this property, so $m$ is well-defned.) If $m=1$, then $p_{1} \in S$, and we are done, so assume $m \geq 2$. Any divisor $d$ of $p_{1} \cdots p_{m}$ in $S$ must be a multiple of $p_{m}$, or else it would also be a divisor of $p_{1} \cdots p_{m-1}$, contradicting the choice of $m$. But now $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, N_{m-1}\right)=p_{m}$, as desired.
Second solution (from sci. math): Let $n$ be the smallest integer such that $\operatorname{gcd}(s, n)>1$ for all $s$ in $n$; note that $n$ obviously has no repeated prime factors. By the condition on $S$, there exists $s \in S$ which divides $n$.
On the other hand, if $p$ is a prime divisor of $s$, then by the choice of $n, n / p$ is relatively prime to some element $t$ of $S$. Since $n$ cannot be relatively prime to $t, t$ is divisible by $p$, but not by any other prime divisor of $n$ (as those primes divide $n / p$ ). Thus $\operatorname{gcd}(s, t)=p$, as desired.

